1936

Department of Revenue

OFFICE Board of Revenue

STATION Madras

Mis No. 4384

Dated 18-12-36

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Grants - Carri - Appl.
[illegible]
Court, Kottagiri - Boards Revn
[illegible]

Revenue Department.

BOARD OF REVENUE 24 SEP 1936 MADRAS

SECY. 24 SEP 1936

BOARD OF REVENUE 14 NOV 1936

M E M O R A N D U M.

No.4181 B/36, dated the 21st September 1936.

*****

Courts - Civil - Appointment of an additional District Munsiff for the District Munsiff's Court. Kollegal.

A copy of the letter from the Registrar, High Court, Madras, R.O.P.No.5/36-C.2, dated the 1st September 1936, (with enclosure) is forwarded to the Board of Revenue.

2. From the statistics furnished by the Registrar, High Cour it is observed that the disposal of work in the District Munsiff's Court, Kollegal, which is presided over by the Deputy Collector, Kollegal, has fallen off materially. The Board of Revenue is requested to report whether the revenue and magisterial work of this Deputy Collector is at all heavy and to offer its remarks as to whether he should not himself be made to clear off the arrears of his civil work.

H.R. Uzielli,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (with copy of the letter and its enclosures).

*****

F O R W A R D E D.

(By Order)

P Krishnan 28/9/36
Superintendent.

V:K/22-9.

Copy of letter from the Registrar, High Court, Madras, R.O.P.No.5/36-C2, dated the 1st September 1936:-

****

Court - Civil - Appointment of an additional District Munsif, District Munsif's Court, Kollegal - Recommended.

I am to forward herewith a copy of letter No.6106, dated 10-8-1936 and the enclosures thereto from the District Judge of Coimbatore and to state that in the circumstances stated therein and in view of the facts that the Munsif is a Deputy Collector who is away from headquarters for nearly half the month and has a fair amount of criminal and revenue work to do and that the old suits are now nearly one-fourth of the total pendency of original suits and the figures of arrears are much more serious than they would be in an ordinary Munsif's Court, the Hon'ble the Judges recommend that the appointment of an additional District Munsif for a period of three months, with an establishment of two clerks on Rs.30-55 each, with Shorthand allowance for one of the clerks, and two peons on Rs.12-17 each. I am therefore to request that the Government may be pleased to issue orders accordingly at an early date.

2. The extra cost involved in the proposal will have to be met from the existing budget grant for the current year under "24 e B - Administration of Justice - Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts - Regular establishments - Pay of Officers and Pay of establishments - Voted.

Copy of letter No.6106/12-8 dated 10th August 1936 from the District Judge, Coimbatore to the Registrar High Court, Madras

Ref:- High Court's R.O.P.No.5 of 1936-C-2 dated 2nd July '36.

Subject:- District Munsif's Court, Kollegal - Appointment of - Proposal called for:

pp 5-7 cl

A perusal of the statements enclosed shows that there were on the file of the District Munsif's Court, - Kollegal 559 suits pending on 1st July 1936, of which - nearly two thirds relate to title. As the District - Munsif of Kollegal has also the revenue and magisterial work to do, and is at the headquarters only for about 12 days in the month, there is no prospect of this pendency being reduced within a reasonable time.

2. Mr. Newsam in letter No.7754 dated 4th December '34 recommended that an additional District Munsif might be - posted for at least a period of three months in order to reduce the arrears then on the file of the District Munsif of Kollegal. The High Court ordered in its R.Dis.No.17 of 1935 dated 3rd January 1935 that it was not proposed to pursue the matter then and observed that "the state of the file in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal, should be - watched." Since then, the pendency has shown no signs of abatement and the need to take adequate steps to reduce the arrears has been increasingly felt.

3. I therefore recommend that a District Munsif some experience may be appointed as Additional District Munsif, Kollegal in the first instance for three months.

4. Necessary accommodation is available and the only establishment that may be required will be one clerk one shorthand writer and two peons.

5. I append two statements showing (1) Institutions, disposals and pendency during the last 5 years and up to - the end of June 1936 in the District Munsif's Court of - Kollegal and (2) Institution and disposals during the last three years in all the other courts in the District.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE INSTITUTION, DISPOSAL, AND PENDENCY IN THE DISTRICT COURT'S COURT, KOLLEGAL - 1931 to 1935 AND UP TO THE END OF JUNE 1936:-

Year.	Original Suits.			Small Cause Suits.			Civil Misc., Petitions.			Original petitions.			Execution petitions.			Insolvency petitions.			Remarks.
	File	Disposal.	Pendency.	File	Disposal.	Pendency.	File.	Disposal.	Pendency.	File.	Disposal.	Pendency.	File.	Disposal.	Pendency.	File.	Disposal.	Pendency.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11	12	13	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.
1931	453	458	214	249	229	76	1010	999	110	9	8	3	525	595	148	3	2	1	(a) This includes 93 suits pending for more than one year. Of the total pendency 251 relate to title and 108 to money suits.
1932	613	599	230	367	353	90	1069	1075	103	11	8	6	793	749	193	3	2	2	
1933	516	517	233	260	285	65	937	896	145	3	8	1	832	613	413	4	3	3	
1934	414	317	331	174	155	84	1026	950	221	6	2	5	1008	990	431	2	-	5	
1935	356	433	254	140	182	42	1077	1192	106	10	13	2	873	1012	294	2	2	5	
1936 (1-1-36 to 30-6-36.	233	149	(a) 339	54	61	36	491	409	189	5	4	3	315	332	257	1	2	4	✓
upto-30-9-36 ↓ to 194	328	283	301	80	102	21	812	724	195	7	4	5	534	389	389	2	4	3	

District Court, Coimbatore,
Dated 10th August 1936.

Sd. M.Shahabuddin,
District Judge.

Statement showing the institution and disposal of suits, etc., in the District Munsifs' Courts other than Kollegal for the years 1933 to 1935.

Year.	Original suits.		Small cause suits.		Misc. Petitions.		Original petitions.		Execution petitions.		Remarks.
	File.	Disposal.	File	Disposal.	File.	Disposal.	File.	Disposal.	File.	Disposal	
District Munsif's court, Coimbatore.											
1933.	1641	1525	3566	3826	7085	7038	126	122	6771	6549	
1934.	1403	1445	2854	2948	7669	7603	102	108	6718	6700	
1935.	1369	1510	2807	2590	8610	8697	119	119	6667	8501	
District Munsif'scourt, Erode.											
1933.	964	996	1766	1721	3882	3855	108	112	3797	3794	
1934.	647	874	1470	1503	3916	3940	107	110	3305	3422	
1935.	574	611	1522	1548	3792	3777	141	137	3202	3284	
District Munsif's Court, Tirupur.											
1933.	887	920	1296	1345	4861	4897	71	66	4562	4742	
1934.	692	777	917	1011	4372	4320	72	72	3817	3698	
1935.	621	619	777	785	4213	4217	69	67	3331	3344	
District Munsif's Court, Udamalpet.											
1933.	874	944	1399	1492	4207	4239	41	39	2989	2993	
1934.	860	924	977	1030	3936	3985	52	55	2598	2654	
1935.	1218	1261	405	442	4180	4201	54	56	2303	2400	
District Munsif's Court, Gobichettipalayam.											
1933.	675	710	1100	1110	2998	2996	59	74	2500	2477	
1934.	750	932	733	747	3198	3241	42	44	2233	2318	
1935.	573	627	728	734	3058	3057	59	50	2147	2128	
District Munsif's Court, Dharapuram.											
1933.	542	641	705	763	2332	2353	29	28	2557	2556	
1934.	476	524	550	552	2461	2450	31	28	2449	2429	
1935.	627	661	222	211	2983	2927	33	34	2287	2130.	

Sd. M.Shahabuddin,
District Judge.

S
Thro' the Secretariat

25.9.36

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..	26/9	
When examined..	26/9	
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

DESPATCHED 26 SEP 1936

SUBJECT:— Courts – Civil – Appointment of an addl. Dt. Munsiff for the D. Mis Court Nilgiris

READ AGAIN ____, dated ____ 19____.

" " ____ " ____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:—

No. 1 Ct From the D. S 895/36 No. ____, dated ____ 19 Q.

" ____ " ____ " ____ " ____ 19____.

" ____ " ____ " ____ " ____ 19____.

Order ~~Ms.~~ solution ~~Rout.~~ No. Refer, dated D 5895/36-1 of 26 Sept 1936.

Referred to the Collector of Coimbatore for very early remarks with statistics of criminal and Revenue work of the Revenue Divl. Officer, Kollegal

Rs.

25/9/31

To

The Collector of Coimbatore

with the Govt Memo & encls in original (to be returned with reply)

pp 1 - 8 Cts



Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 19 *Registered* D 6548 19

Subject

Courts - Civil - Appointment of an additional District Munsif for the District Munsif's court, Kollegal.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

D.Dis. No. 7496/36.

Station Coimbatore, *Dated the* 11th November, 1936. 19

From (Name) B.G.Holdsworth, Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of Coimbatore.

To The Secretary ~~to the Government of Madras~~

Board of Revenue, Madras. ~~Department~~

Sir,

904 Board's Reference D.No.5895/36-1, dated 26-9-1936.

pp 15-17 p 13 Statistics of Criminal and Revenue work of the Revenue Divisional Office, Kollegal, and a copy of the statement showing the pendency of suits etc. in the District Munsif's court in the district during 1933 to 1935 and on 1-10-36. received from the District Judge, Coimbatore, are enclosed.

2. There has been no appreciable deterioration of civil court work in Kollegal during the last four

years. The civil court work has, however, neglected by the then Revenue Divisional Officer during the first quarter of 1936. The Present Revenue Divisional Officer considers that he can reduce the pendency without any outside assistance or special staff. His view may be accepted. His revenue and criminal work is about half that of a normal division. There should therefore, be no difficulty in keeping pace with the work.

3. I would suggest however that copies of the statements of pendency sent to the District Judge should be sent to the Collector also who would thereby be kept in touch with the progress of civil work (of which he knows nothing at present) and could interfere at once if arrears begin to accumulate. The District Judge is likely to be somewhat reluctant to question poor progress in view of his ignorance of the requirements of Revenue Divisional Officer's revenue and magisterial duties.

for Collector.

S.R.G/11-11.P

Enc. Government memo dated 21-9-36 and its enclosures
Revenue and criminal statistics of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kollegal
Copy of District Judge's statement dated 3-10-36.

Statement showing the pendency of suits etc. in the District Munsif's court during 1933 to 1935, and on 1-10-1936.

Name of court	Year	Original Suits		Small Cause Suits	Mis. petitions	Original petitions	Execution petitions	Insolvency petitions
		Total	Over one year old					
District Munsif's Court, Coimbatore	1933	836	150	377	489	34	1175	20
	1934	830	261	325	569	30	1195	18
	1935	708	71	571	502	31	1263	13
	1-10-36	660	117	533	634	35	1401	15
Do., Erode	1933	445	33	189	196	19	623	1
	1934	244	10	164	174	16	507	4
	1935	212	3	167	195	20	428	4
	1-10-36	254	6	237	175	18	326	6
Do. Tiruppur	1933	380	63	208	256	12	508	2
	1934	284	57	134	309	12	630	1
	1935	311	58	148	311	14	621	2
	1-10-36	333	81	187	363	12	776	1
Do. Udamalpet	1933	559	41	243	374	17	525	11
	1934	619	46	81	324	14	469	10
	1935	598	80	45	311	12	373	8
	1-10-36	601	153	74	393	16	463	4
Do., Gobichettipalayam	1933	248	23	143	160	11	378	8
	1934	189	6	51	119	10	294	4
	1935	163	1	52	123	19	313	2
	1-10-36	127	2	52	96	15	290	1
Do., Dharapuram	1933	107	5	63	120	5	393	..
	1934	166	8	70	132	8	414	1
	1935	216	25	21	189	7	573	..
	1-10-36	241	19	34	211	8	592	1
Do., Kollegal	1933	233	37	65	145	1	413	3
	1934	331	93	84	221	5	431	5
	1935	254	90	42	106	2	294	5
	1-10-36	301	77	21	195	5	259	5

Abstract of the Revenue Business in the Revenue Divisional Office, Kollegal.

Year	No.pending at the beginning of the year.	No.received during the year.	Total.	No.disposed of during the year.	No.pending at the end of the year	Remarks.
1933. Current Numbers	60	1828	1888	1782	106	
Copy application.	-	88	88	88	-	
Total.	60	1916	1976.	1870	106	
1934. Current Numbers	106	1856	1962	1851	111	
Copy application.	-	100	100	99	1	
Total.	106	1956	2062	1950	112	
1935. Current numbers	111	1745	1856.	1806	50	
Copy applications.	1	56	57	56	1	
Total.	112	1801	1913	1862	51	
1936.(First half year) Current Numbers.	50	647	697	636	61 ✓	
Copy applications.	1	101	102	101	1 ✓	
Total.	51	748	799	737	62	

M. Mackenzie
6.10.36.
Revenue Divisional Officer.

BBD
3.10.86.

Statement showing the criminal cases filed, disposed of and pending for the past 5 years and in 1936 upto 1.10.36. in the Court of the Sub Divisional Magistrate Kollegal.

Year.	Calendar case.				Miscellaneous case				Criminal appeal				Summary cases.			
	No. pending at the beginning of the year.	Filed.	Disposed of.	Pending.	No. pending at the beginning of the year	Filed.	Disposed of	Pending.	No. pending at the beginning of the year.	No. filed.	No. disposed of.	No. pending.	No. pending at the beginning of the year.	No. filed.	No. disposed of	Pending.
1931.	-	41	38	3	-	21	21	-	-	12	9	3	-	5	5	-
1932.	3	30	28	5	-	16	16	-	3	11	13	1	-	-	-	-
1933.	5	33	33	5	-	46	45	1	1	12	12	1	-	-	-	-
1934.	5	38	41	2	1	27	27	1	1	7	7	1	-	-	-	-
1935.	2	23	22	3	1	20	19	2	1	2	3	-	-	-	-	-
1936 Upto 1st Oct. 36.	3	16	19	-X	2	8	9	1✓	-	9	7	2✓	-	-	-	-

6.10

Revenue Divisional Officer.

Statement showing the institution, disposal and pendency in the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal in the year 1936 upto the end of September 1936.

Year	Original suits.			Small Cause Suits.			Civil Mis. Petitions			Original petitions			Execution petitions			Insolvency petitions			Remarks.
	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	File	Disposal	Pendency	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1936 upto the end of September 1936.	328	283	* 301	80	102	21	812	724	195	7	4	5	534	569	259	2	4	3	* This includes 77 suits pending for more than one year. Of the total pendency 220 relate to Title and 81 relate to money Suits.

District Munsif's Court,
Kollegal.
Dated 6th October 1936.

District Munsif. 6.10.36

Statement showing the disposals in the various branches of work the District Munsif's Court, Kollegal for the years 1933, 1934 ~~and~~ 1935. and 1936.

		Original Suits	Small cause suits	Mis. Petitions	Original petns.	Execution petitions	Insolvency petns.	Remarks. Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	1 Qr	110	67	261	5	176	1	
	2 "	105	49	159	2	102	..	
1933	3 "	157	104	220	1	184	2	
	4 "	145	65	256	..	151	..	
Total.		517	285	896	8	613	3	2322
	1 Qr	76	45	256	1	272	..	
	2 "	63	32	177	..	194	..	
1934	3 "	82	44	242	1	261	..	
	4 "	96	34	275	..	263	..	
Total.		317	155	950	2	990	..	2414
	1 Qr	89	52	279	1	294	..	
	2 "	88	35	240	..	136	..	
1935	3 "	162	61	378	5	305	1	
	4 "	94	34	295	7	277	1	
Total.		433	182	1192	13	1012	2	2834
	1 Qr	19	19	172	2	188	1	
1936	2 "	130	42	237	2	164	1	
	3 "	134	41	~~195~~ 315	~~5~~ ..	217	2	
Total.		283	102	724	4	569	4	1686

M Mudaiah
R.D.O Kollegal
6.10.38

3

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

BOARD OF REVENUE 25 NOV 1936

REMINDER. Branch

THE Board of Revenue To THE Chief Clerk

REPLY. SECY C.F. 98 25 NOV 1936

~~have the honour to invite~~
I am directed to invite attention to

S.M. No. 4181 B/136-1 dated 21-9-36 and

to request that the reply to it may be expedited

~~request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to~~

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and

(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

Office Revenue Sects. Signature. H. Mac Success

Station Fort St. G. 25/11 Asst. Secy. to Govt., Rev. Dept. Designation.

Dated 25-11-36.

SUBJECT. 26/11/36

Courts - Civil - Appointment of an Additional District Munsiff for the District Munsiff's Court, Kollegal.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied	28/12	
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Courts — Civil - Appointment of an Addl. Munsiff for the D. M. c's Court, Collegal - Board's remarks Submitted.

READ AGAIN , dated 19 .

p/p 1,,Ct D.5895/36 19 Q

READ *ALSO*:—

p/p No. 11-21 Ct From the D 6948/36 No. , dated 19 A

,, ,, ,, ,, 19

,, ,, ,, ,, 19

~~Order~~ / Resolution ~~Mr.~~ Mrs / ~~Rout.~~ No. 4384, dated 18 Decbr 1936.

Submitted to the Government.

(2) The Board supports the suggestion made by the Collector in para 3 of his report.

(3) The Board has carefully examined the figures furnished by the Dt. Judge & the Dt. Collector, and agrees with the Collector that the Revenue and magisterial work of the Deputy Collector is not heavy and that

appreciable deterioration of the Civil work at Kollegal. He accordingly considers that there is ~~no~~ no case for the appointment of an additional Dt. Munsiff at present.

(4). If as proposed by the Collector, copies of the statements of pendency are sent to him also, he will be in a position to watch the work of the R.D.O and to submit proposals for giving him relief on the Civil side, if and when he feels it necessary. or in any other branch of his work. only after a year

C.S.
17/12/36

18.12.36

To

The Secretary to Govt
Rev. Depts

Vote file.

Courts - Civil - Appointment of an additional Dt. Munsiff for the Dt. Munsiff's Court Kollegal

Through D/3
see. MS 7/12

888 I/36
18.12.36 101 v 36

Recd Ltr 12.36

p. 1 ct. D. 5895/36.

p. 9 ct. Bdl's Refce D. 5895/36-1 of 26.9.36.

pp 11-12 ct. D. 6948/36.

To Secretary.

The District Munsiff's Court at Kollegal is presided over by the R.D.O. Kollegal. ~~The Dt. Judge, Coimbatore~~ On the ground of heavy ~~has pointed out that the~~ pendency of suits in the Dt. Munsiff's Court, ~~the Dt. Judge, Coimbatore~~ The Dt. Judge has suggested ~~and therefore recommended~~ the appointment of an Addl. Dt. Munsiff for a period of three months so that the pendency could be reduced. The High Court supported the proposal of the Dt. Judge but the Government have referred the matter to the Board of

para 30 page 34

Revenue and have enquired whether the Revenue and Magisterial work of the Dy Collector is at all heavy and whether he should not be made to clear off the arrears of civil work. The Collector's report in the matter may be perused. p 1 c+

The main reason urged by the Dt. Judge for the appointment of an additional Dt. Munsiff is that the D.C.O is a touring officer, that he stays at Head quarters only for about 12 days in a month and that therefore there is no prospect of the arrears being reduced within a reasonable time p 3 c+

~~The Dt Judge's observation is not correct.~~ According to B.S.O 124 the minimum touring prescribed for a Rev. Divl. Officer is 2 1/2 months in a pp 11-12 c

half year ie about 12½ days a month on an average. In the case of the R.D.O Kollegal the minimum touring prescribed is only 50 days in a half year ie about 8.69 days on an average for each month. Vide B.P 443 Mis dy 1930. The actual touring done by the R.D.O, Kollegal for the past three years is noted below:-

Half year ending 31-6-35 — 51 days
Half year ending 31-12-35 — 51 days
Half year ending 31-6-36 — 58 "

From the above it will be clear that the R.D.O Kollegal stays at head quarters for more than 12 days in a month.

The Collector has submitted statements showing the pendency of Revenue business and Magisterial work. The number of Current and

copy applications pending at the end of June 1936 is only 62*/. The pendency at the end of previous half years is also noted below — p 15 of

Half year ending with	No. of currents pending.	
December 1935	51 ✓	p. 6 of (D)
June 1935	43 ✓	
December 1934	112 ✓ ~~124~~	
June 1934	124 ✓	p. 6 of (6)
December 1933	106 ✓	
June 1933	74 ✓	p. 8 of (E)
December 1932	60 ✓	

The statistics of the magisterial work furnished by the R.D.O. it is seen that there is practically no pendency at all at present — p 17 of

of the R.D.O's office for the years 1933 to 1936 are placed below. They show that the work of the R.D.O has undoubtedly — Mag (E) (D) (6) + (D)

light and that the Condition of the Office was generally satisfactory. Mr. Holdsworth is of the opinion that the Revenue & Criminal work of the R.D.O, Kollegal is about half that of a normal division.

As regards the Civil work both the Dt. Judge and the Collector have furnished statistics. These have been compiled into one statement for easy reference. A perusal of this statement shows that ~~the pendency of~~ the Civil work at Kollegal is almost equal to that in the Dt. Munsiffs Courts at Gobichettipalayam or Dharapuram. In these two Courts the Dt. Munsiffs devote their whole attention to the Civil work only and they have no Revenue and Magl. work. ~~Of the figures~~ (a The other hand the Dt. Munsiff, Kollegal has to do revenue and magl. work. It is known P.T.O

of pendency ~~are examined~~ is taken as a criterion, allowing some margin because the Dt. Munsiff's Collegal has also to do [illegible] work, it will be seen that the work in the Dt. Munsiff's Court, Collegal can not (be said to) lagging behind that in either of the two Courts referred to above. In the circumstances the Board may agree with the Collector's view that there has been no appreciable deterioration of the Civil work at Collegal

The Collector suggests that copies of the statement of pendency sent to the Dt. Judge should also be sent to them so that he may be in touch with the progress of Civil work. The Board may support the

The Collector's report together with the statistics furnished by him may be submitted to Govt. Orders are solicited as to the remarks with which the report may be submitted

KS.
5/12/36

Board may say that there is no case for an addl. Dy. at this stage & that when the Coll: is kept posted with pendency under all heads of work done by RDOs. he can be able to report when & if cases justify their creation on the civil side or any other.

9.12.36

Affirmed: but what has happened to the Dy. Coll. who was elected Pres. Civil Court. work in the early part of this year?

SECY.
15 DEC 1936

To Secretary

Draft submitted for approval. M.'s query will be answered after the issue of the draft

KS.
17/12/36

answered separately

18/12/36

year	Original Suits			Small cause suits			Misc. Pe
	Fila	Disposal	Pendency	Fila	Disposal	Pendency	Fila
				Ic District Munsif's Court			
1933	516	517 ✓	233	260	285	65	937
1934	414	317	331	174	155	84	1026
1935	356	433	254	140	182	42	1077
1936 upto 1.10.36	328	283	301	80	102	21	812
				IIDistrict Munsif's Court			
1933	1641	1525	836	3566	3826	377	7085
1934	1403	1445	830	2854	2948	325	7669
1935	1369	1510	708	2807	2590	571	8610
upto 1.10.36	—	—	660	—	—	533	—
				EDistrict Munsif's Court			
1933	964	996	445	1766	1721	189	3882
1934	647	874	244	1470	1503	164	3916
1935	574	611	212	1522	1548	167	3792
upto 1.10.36	—	—	254	—	—	237	—
				TDistrict Munsif's Court			Ti
1933	887	920	380	1296	1345	208	4861
1934	692	777	284	917	1011	134	4372
1935	621	619	311	777	785	148	4213
upto 1.10.36	—	—	333	—	—	187	—
				District Munsiff's Court			
1933	874	944	559	1399	1492	243	4207
1934	860	924	619	977	1030	81	3936
1935	1218	1261	598	405	442	45	4180
upto 1.10.36	—	—	601	—	—	1537 4	—
				District Munsif's Court			Kot
1933	675	710	248	1100	1110	143	2998
1934	750	932	189	733	747	51	3198
1935	573	627	163	728	734	52	3058
upto 1.10.36	—	—	127	—	—	52	—
				DDistrict Munsif's Court			D
1933	542	641	167	705	763	63	2332
1934	476	524	166	550	552	70	2461
1935	627	661	216	222	211	21	2983
upto 1.10.36	—	—	241	—	—	34	

titions		Original petitions			Execution petitions			
	Pendency	Filed	Disposal	Pendency	Filed	Disposal	Pendency	Remarks
Kollegal								
96	145	3	8	1	832	613	413	
50	221	6	2	5	1008	990	431	
72	106	10	13	2	873	1012	294	
24	195	7	4	5	534	569	259	
Coimbatore								
38	489	126	122	34	6771	6549	1175	
03	569	102	108	30	6718	6700	1195	
97	502	119	119	31	6667	8501	1263	
~~39~~	634	—	—	35	—	—	1401	
Erode								
55	196	108	112	19	3797	3794	623	
40	174	107	110	16	3305	3422	507	
77	195	141	137	20	3202	3284	428	
—	175	—	—	18			326	
Tuticorin								
97	256	71	66	12	4562	4742	508	
320	309	72	72	12	3817	3698	630	
17	311	69	67	14	3331	3344	621	
—	363	—	—	12	—	—	726	
Salampet								
39	374	41	39	17	2989	2993	525	
85	324	52	55	14	2598	2654	469	
01	311	54	56	12	2303	2400	373	
—	~~74~~ 393	—	—	16	—	—	463	
Chettipalayam								
96	160	59	74	11	2500	2477	378	
41	119	42	44	10	2233	2318	294	
57	123	59	50	19	2147	2128	313	
—	96	—	—	15	—	—	290	
Erapuram								
53	120	29	28	5	2557	2556	393	
50	132	31	28	8	2449	2429	414	
27	189	33	34	7	2287	2130	573	
—	211	—	—	8			592	